AVR223: Digital Filters with AVR

Features

- Implementation of Digital Filters
- Coefficient and Data scaling
- Fast Implementation of 4th Order FIR Filter
- Fast Implementation of 2nd Order IIR Filter
- Methods for Optimization

1 Introduction

Applications involving processing of signals from external analog sources/sensors usually require some kind of digital filtering. For extremely high filter performance, Digital Signal Processors (DSP) are usually chosen, but in many cases these are too expensive to use. In these cases, 8- and 16-bit Microcontrollers (MCU) come into the picture. They are inexpensive, efficient, and have all the required I/O features and communication modules that DSP seldom have.

The Atmel AVR microcontrollers are excellent for signal processing applications due to their powerful architecture, strong instruction set and built-in multi-channel 10-bit Analog to Digital Converter (ADC). The megaAVR® series further have a hardware multiplier, which is important in signal processing applications.

This document focuses on the use of the AVR hardware multiplier, the use of the general purpose registers for accumulator functionality, how to scale coefficients when implementing algorithms on fixed point architectures, and possible ways to optimize a filter implementation. Two example implementations are included.

Although digital filter theory is not the focus of this application note, some basics are covered. A list of suggested, more in-depth literature on digital filter theory is enclosed last in this document.
2 General Digital Filters

All digital, linear, time-invariant (LTI) filters can be described by a difference equation on the form shown in Equation 1. The output signal is denoted by $y[n]$ and the input signal by $x[n]$.

**Equation 1:** General Difference Equation for Digital Filters.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{M} a_i \cdot y[n-i] = \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j \cdot x[n-j]$$

A filter is uniquely defined by its order and coefficients, $a_i$ and $b_j$. The order of a filter is defined as the largest of $M$ and $N$, denoting the longest delay used in the calculations. Note that the coefficients are usually scaled so that $a_0$ equals 1. The output of the filter may then be calculated as shown in Equation 2.

**Equation 2:** Difference Equation for Filter Output.

$$y[n] = \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j \cdot x[n-j] + \sum_{i=1}^{M} (-a_i) \cdot y[n-i]$$

If $x[n]$ is an impulse (1 for $n = 0$ and 0 for $n \neq 0$), the output is called the filter’s impulse response: $h[n]$.

A filter may be classified as one of two types from the value of $M$:

- Finite Impulse Response (FIR), for $M = 0$
- Infinite Impulse Response (IIR), for $M \neq 0$

The difference between these two types of filters is the feedback: For IIR filters the output samples are calculated recursively, i.e., from previous output in addition to the input samples. The term Finite/Infinite then describes the length of the filter’s impulse response (disregarding quantization effects in a real implementation). Note that an IIR filter with $N = 0$ is a special case of filters, called “all-pole”. For further information on these two classes of filters, refer to the suggested literature list at the end of this document.

Often, digital filters are described in the Z-domain, a complex frequency domain. The Z-transform of Equation 1 is shown in Equation 3.

**Equation 3:** Z-transform of the General Digital Filter.

$$Z \left( \sum_{i=0}^{M} a_i \cdot y[n-i] \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j \cdot x[n-j]$$

$$Y(z) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{M} a_i \cdot z^{-i} = X(z) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j \cdot z^{-j}$$

The transfer function, $H(z)$, for a filter is usually supplied as it tends to give a compact representation and allows for easy frequency analysis. The transfer function is
defined as the ratio between output and input of a filter in the Z-domain, as shown in Equation 4. Note that the transfer function is the Z-transform of the filter's impulse response, $h[n]$.

**Equation 4:** Transfer Function of General Digital Filter.

$$H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{X(z)} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j \cdot z^{-j}}{\sum_{i=0}^{M} a_i \cdot z^{-i}} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j \cdot z^{-j}}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i \cdot z^{-i}}$$

As can be seen, the numerator describes the feed-forward part and the denominator describes the feedback part of the filter function. For more information on the Z-domain, refer to the suggested literature at the end of this document.

For the purposes of implementing a filter from a given transfer function, it is sufficient to know that $z$ in the Z-domain represents a delay element, and that the exponent defines the delay length in units of samples. Figure 2-1 illustrates this with a general digital filter in Direct Form 1 representation.
3 Filter Implementation Considerations

When implementing a filter on a given MCU architecture, several issues must be considered. For example:

- The resolution (number of bits) of input and output will affect the maximum allowable filter gain and throughput.
- The resolution of filter coefficients will affect the frequency response and throughput.
- The filter order will affect the throughput.
- Fractional filter coefficients require some thought when used with an integer multiplier.

These and other implementation issues are discussed in this section.

In addition, a quick description of the AVR hardware multiplier and virtual accumulator is in order, since knowledge about these is important for understanding the filtering code.
3.1 The AVR Hardware Multiplier

Since the AVR is an 8-bit architecture, the hardware multiplier is an 8-bit by 8-bit = 16-bit multiplier. The multiplier is in this application note invoked using three different instructions: MUL, MULS and MULSU. These instructions are unsigned, signed and signed-times-unsigned multiplications, respectively.

The filter algorithm is a sum of products. The first product is calculated using a "simple" multiplication (MUL) between two N-bit values, providing a 2N-bit result. The multiplication is performed with one byte from each of the multiplicands at a time, and the result is stored in the 2N-bit "accumulator". The next products are calculated and added to the accumulator, a so-called multiply-and-accumulate operation (MAC).

The example filters in this application note make use of two different multiplication operations:
- muls16x16_24
- mac16x16_24

These are signed 16-bit by 16-bit operations with a 24-bit result. (The result is less than 32-bit because the input samples and coefficients in the example implementations do not use the entire 16-bit range.)

Note that the AVR also have instructions for fractional multiplications, but these are not used in this application note. For more information about these and other multiplication instructions, refer to the application note "AVR201: Using the AVR Hardware Multiplier".

3.2 The AVR Virtual Accumulator

The AVR does not have a dedicated accumulator – instead, the AVR allows any number of the 32 8-bit General Purpose Input/Output (GPIO) registers to form a "virtual accumulator". Throughout this document the virtual accumulator will simply be referred to as "accumulator", although it is more flexible than ordinary accumulators used in other architectures.

As an example, if a 24-bit accumulator is required by the AVR to MUL two 12-bit values, three 8-bit GP Registers are combined into a 24-bit accumulator. If a MAC requires a 40-bit accumulator, five 8-bit registers are combined to form the accumulator. Using this flexibility of the accumulator ensures that no parts of the result or sub-result need to be moved back and forth during the MAC operating, which would have been required if the accumulator size was fixed to 32-bit or less.

The flexibility of the AVR accumulator is an important tool for avoiding overflow in Fixed Point (FP) algorithms, which is discussed next.

3.3 Overflow of Fixed Point Values

Overflow may occur at two places in the filter algorithm; in the sub-results of the algorithm and in the output of the filter.

3.3.1 Avoiding Overflow in Sub-Results

The reasons that overflow may occur in the sub-results of the filtering algorithm are:
- Multiplication of two values with resolution \(N_1\) and \(N_2\) can produce a \((N_1+N_2)\)-bit result.
• Addition of two values can produce a sum that has 1 bit more than the operand with the highest resolution.

Consider a fourth order FIR filter described by Equation 5.

Equation 5: Difference Equation for a 4th Order FIR Filter.
\[ y[n] = \sum_{j=0}^{4} b_j \cdot x[n] \]

The output is a sum of five products. Assuming that the input samples and coefficients both are 16-bit and signed, the algorithm will at most require a 34-bit accumulator, as calculated in Equation 6.

Equation 6: Required Accumulator Resolution for 4th Order FIR Filter.
\[ N \geq 2 \cdot K + \log_2(M) + 1 \]
\[ = 2 \cdot 15 + \log_2(5) + 1 \]
\[ \approx 33.32 \]
\[ N = 34 \]

\( N \) is the number of bits needed, \( K \) is the bit resolution (excluding sign bit) of the input samples and coefficients, and \( M \) is the number of additions. The single bit that is added is the sign bit. The accumulator would in this case require five GPIO registers (40 bits) to hold the largest absolute value that may occur due to these operations.

Keep in mind that in IIR filters, the output samples are used in the filtering algorithm. If the output has a higher resolution than the input, the accumulator needs to be scaled according to the output’s resolution.

3.3.2 Avoiding Overflow in Output

To avoid overflow in the output stage, the filter gain must be limited so that it is possible to represent the result with the resolution available in the output stage. The limit on the gain will, of course, depend on the spectrum and resolution (relative to output) of the input signal.

The most conservative criterion for avoiding overflow in the output states that the absolute sum of the filter’s impulse response multiplied with the maximum absolute value of the input cannot exceed the maximum absolute value of the output. Equation 7 shows this criterion.

Equation 7: Conservative Criteria for Avoiding Overflows in Filter Output.
\[ |X_{MAX}| \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |h[n]| \leq |Y_{MAX}| \]
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |h[n]| \leq \frac{|Y_{MAX}|}{|X_{MAX}|} \]

If the impulse response does not fulfill this criterion, it simply needs to be multiplied with a factor that reduces the absolute sum sufficiently.
Keep in mind that for signed integers, the maximum value of the positive range is 1 smaller than the absolute maximum value of the negative range. Assuming the input is M-bit and the output is N-bit, Equation 8 shows the criterion for the worst-case scenario.

Equation 8: "Worst-Case" Conservative Criterion for Signed Integers.
\[
\sum |h[n]| \leq 2^{N-1} - 1 \quad 2^{M-1}
\]

Although fulfillment of this criterion guarantees that no overflow will ever occur, the drawback is a substantial reduction of the filter gain. The characteristics of the input signal may be so that this criterion is overly pessimistic.

Another common criterion, which is better for narrowband signals (such as a sine), states that the absolute maximum gain of the filter multiplied with the absolute maximum value of the input cannot exceed the absolute maximum value of the output. Equation 9 shows this criterion.

Equation 9: Criterion for Avoiding Overflow with Narrowband Signals.
\[
\max_{H(\omega)} X_{\text{MAX}} \cdot H(\omega) \leq Y_{\text{MAX}}
\]
\[
\max_{H(\omega)} H(\omega) \leq \frac{Y_{\text{MAX}}}{X_{\text{MAX}}}
\]

This is the criterion used for the filter implementations in this application note: With the same resolution in input and output, the filters should not exceed unity (0 dB) gain.

Note that the limit on the gain depends on the characteristics of the input signal, so some experimentation may be necessary to find an optimal limit.

3.4 Scaling of Coefficients

Another important issue is the representation of the filter coefficients on Fixed Point (FP) architectures. FP representation does not necessarily mean that the values must be integers. As mentioned, fractional FP multiplication is also available. However, in this application note only integer multiplications are used, and thus the focus is on integer representations.

Naturally, to most accurately represent a number, one should use as many bits as possible. For the purpose of using fractional filter coefficients in integer multiplications, this issue boils down to scaling all the coefficients by the largest common factor that does not cause any overflows in their representation. This scaling also applies to the a0 coefficient, so a downscaling of the output is necessary to get the correct value (especially for IIR filters). Division is not implemented in hardware, so the scaling factor should be on the form $2^k$ since division and multiplication by factors of 2 may easily be done with bitshifts. The principle of coefficient scaling and subsequent downscaling of the result is shown in Equation 10.
Equation 10: Scaling of Filter Coefficients and Downscaling of Result.

\[
2^k \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{M} a_i y[n-i] = 2^k \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{N} b_j x[n-j] \\
y[n] = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left( 2^k \cdot b_j \cdot x[n-j] \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( -2^k \cdot a_i \cdot y[n-i] \right) \right) >> k
\]

Note that the sign bit must be preserved when downscaling. This is easily done with the ASR instruction (arithmetic shift right).

As an example, consider the filter coefficients \( b_j = \{0.9001, -0.6500, 0.3000\} \). If 16-bit signed integer representation is to be used, the scaled coefficients must have values in the range \([-2^{15}...2^{15-1}] = [-32768...32767]\). Naturally, the coefficient with the largest absolute value will be the one limiting the maximum scaling factor. In this case, the largest possible scaling factor without any overflow is \(2^{15}\). Rounding of the scaled coefficients results in the values \(\{29494, -21299, 9830\}\) and the approximate (downscaled) absolute rounding errors \(\{1.5\cdot10^{-5}, 6.1\cdot10^{-6}, 1.2\cdot10^{-5}\}\).

Optimization of the downscaling is possible if the factor \(k\) is above a multiple of 8. If this is the case, the program may simply "ignore" bytes of the result. An example is illustrated in Figure 3-1, where a 32-bit result should be downscaled by \(2^{18}\). This is accomplished by bit shifting the 16 Most Significant Bits (MSB) two times.

Figure 3-1: Optimized Downscaling (Grey Blocks are Unused Bits).

---

3.4.1 Effect of Downscaling

One may wonder why one adds bits to avoid overflow in the sub-results, then basically “throws them away” to fit the result into a specified resolution. The explanation is that the bits are needed for precision during calculations, the filter has unity gain, and the output should be interpreted as an integer.

For filters with unity gain, the coefficients will be fractional, i.e., less than one, and thus the multiplications will add bits that actually represent fractional values. The summations will, however, add bits that represent higher significance. But due to the unity gain of the filter, these bits will never be used in the result: The output of the filter will not get an absolute value higher than that of the input, thus allowing the output to be represented with the same integer range as the input.
The downscaling simply removes the fractional part of the result, leaving just the integer part in the wanted resolution. Clearly, this also means that the precision is reduced. This is of consequence to IIR filters, since they have feedback. If the effect of this precision loss is a problem, it may be reduced in two ways:

- Maximize filter gain, so the output uses the entire, available range.
- Increase both the resolution of the output and the filter gain.

Of the two, only the latter can affect code size and throughput since a larger accumulator may be required.

### 3.4.2 Reduced Resolution for Increased Throughput

To speed up the filtering algorithm, it may be desirable to reduce the resolution of the coefficients and/or input samples so that the size of the accumulator can be reduced. A smaller accumulator means that the algorithm requires fewer operations per multiplication of filter coefficient and sample. However, two issues need to be taken into consideration before doing this:

- Reduction of input sample resolution means that noise is introduced in the system, which is generally undesirable.
- Reduction of filter coefficient resolution means that the desired filter response may become harder to achieve.

For other ways to increase throughput, see “Optimization of Filter Implementations” on page 16.

### 4 Filter Implementations

The example filters in this application note were developed and compiled using the IAR EWAVR compiler version 5.03A.

The filter coefficients were calculated using software made for this purpose. There is a plethora of software that can do this, ranging from costly mathematical programs such as Matlab™, to freely available Java applets on the web. A list of web sites that deal with the topic of calculating filter coefficients are provided in the literature list enclosed last in this application note. An alternative is to calculate the coefficients the “hard” way: By hand. Methods for calculating the filter coefficients (and investigating stability of these filters) are described in [1] and [2].

Two filters are implemented; A fourth order High Pass (HP) FIR filter, and a second order Band Pass (BP) IIR filter. For both implementations, 10-bit signed input samples are used. The FIR filter uses 13-bit signed coefficients, while the IIR filter uses 12-bit signed coefficients. This is ensures that a maximum accumulator size of 24 bits is needed.

The filters are implemented in assembly for efficiency reasons. The implementations are made in such a way that the filter function can be called from C. Prior to calling the filter functions, it is required that the filter nodes (memory of the delay elements) are initialized – otherwise the startup conditions of the filters are unknown. For both filters, a C code example that initializes and calls the filter function is provided.

All parameters required for filtering are passed at run time, so the filter function may be reused to implement more than one filter without the need for additional code space. This may be utilized for cascade coupling of filters: Often, multiple second order filters are used to form higher order filters by feeding the output of one filter into the input of the next filter in the cascade. However, since the output from each filter in
the cascade is downscale before being fed into the next filter, the final output might not be as expected. This is because precision is lost in between filters. Naturally, the effect of this becomes more pronounced with increased cascade lengths.

The implementations focus on fast execution of the filters, since a high throughput in the filters is of great importance. See “Optimization of Filter” on page 16 for suggestions on ways to reduce code size, increase throughput further, and reduce memory usage.

4.1 Fourth Order FIR Filter

For the purpose of demonstrating the cascading technique, this filter is implemented by using two second order HP filters. Both filters were made with the windowing technique, described in [1], using a Hamming window. The filter parameters are shown in Table 4-1. Figure 4-1 shows the magnitude response of both filters separately, and in cascade.

Table 4-1: Second Order FIR Filter Parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>Coefficients (b0, b1, b2)</th>
<th>Scaling</th>
<th>Scaled Coefficients (b0, b1, b2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.0373, 0.9253, -0.0373</td>
<td>$2^{12}$</td>
<td>-153, 3790, -153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.0540, 0.8920, -0.0540</td>
<td>$2^{12}$</td>
<td>-222, 3653, -222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 4-1: Magnitude Response of FIR Filters.](image)

The filter routines are implemented in assembly to make them efficient. However, the filter parameters and the filter nodes need to be initialized prior to calling the filtering function. The initialization is done in C. A struct containing the filter coefficients and the filter nodes are defined for each of the filters. The structs are defined as follows:
```c
struct FIR_filter{
    int filterNodes [FILTER_ORDER];  //Filter nodes memory
    //Filter coefficients memory
    int filterCoefficients[FILTER_ORDER+1];
} filter04 = {0,0, B10, B11, B12}, //Init filter No. 1
    filter06 = {0,0, B20, B21, B22};  //Init filter No. 2
```

The `filterNodes` array is used as a FIFO buffer, holding previous input samples. The `filterCoefficients` array is used for the feedforward coefficients of the filter. Once the filter is initialized the filter function can be called. The filter function is defined as follows:

```c
int FIR2(struct FIR_filter *myFilter, int newSample);
```

First, the function copies the pointer to the filter struct into the Z-register, since this may be used for indirect addressing of data, i.e., for pointer operations. Then the core of the algorithm is ready to be run. The samples (nodes) are loaded and multiplied (MUL) with the corresponding coefficients. The products are added in the 24-bit wide accumulator. When all samples and coefficients are multiplied-and-accumulated (MAC), the result is downscaled and returned. This can be seen from the flow chart in Figure 4-2, which is a general description of the flow in a FIR filter algorithm.

Note that although the flow chart in Figure 4-2 shows the algorithm as if it was implemented using loops, the algorithm is actually implemented as straight-line code. The loop is used simply to improve readability.
As mentioned, the two filters are used in cascade. In the C file, this is simply done by first passing filter No. 1 and the input sample as arguments to the filtering function,
then passing filter No. 2 and the output of the first filter as arguments to the same function.

4.1.1 Filter Algorithm Performance

Table 4-2 shows the performance of a single second order FIR filter. The count for filtering is for the core of the filtering algorithm (MUL and MAC operations, updating nodes), and the count for overhead is for the rest of the filtering function (including downscaling). Function call and corresponding return are not included.

Table 4-2: Second Order FIR Filter Performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructions</th>
<th>Execution cycles</th>
<th>Filter efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(filtering + overhead)</td>
<td>(filtering + overhead)</td>
<td>(filtering cycles per order)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 + 10</td>
<td>76 + 10</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that a change in filter order may necessitate a change in accumulator size, which in turn will change the number of filtering instructions/cycles per order.

4.2 Second Order IIR Filter

For this implementation, a band pass (BP) Butterworth filter was designed. The filter parameters are shown in Table 4-3, and the magnitude response in Figure 4-3.

Table 4-3: Second Order IIR Filter Parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Scaling</th>
<th>Scaled Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b0, b1, b2; a0, a1, a2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(b0, b1, b2; a0, a1, a2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.45 - 0.55</td>
<td>0.1367, 0.0000, -0.1367; 1.0000, 0.0000, 0.7265</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>280, 0, -280; 2048, 0, 1488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the a0 coefficient is the factor by which the filter output must be downsampled it is not defined in the C code, but is implicitly defined in the assembly code for the downscaling.
A struct containing the filter coefficients and the filter nodes are defined for the filter. The filter should be initialized before the filter function is called. The struct is defined as follows:

```c
struct IIR_filter{
    int filterNodesX[FILTER_ORDER]; //filter nodes, stores x(n-k)
    int filterNodesY[FILTER_ORDER]; //filter nodes, stores y(n-k)
    //filter feedforward coefficients
    int filterCoefficientsB[FILTER_ORDER+1];
    //filter feedback coefficients
    int filterCoefficientsA[FILTER_ORDER];
} filter04_06 = {0,0,0,0, B0, B1, B2, A1, A2}; //Init filter
```

The `filterNodesX` and `filterNodesY` arrays are used as FIFO buffers, holding previous input and output samples respectively. The `filterCoefficientsB` and `filterCoefficientsA` arrays are used for the feed-forward and feedback coefficients of the filter respectively. Once the filter is initialized the filter function can be called. The filter function is defined as follows:

```c
int IIR2(struct IIR_filter *myFilter, int newSample);
```

The function first copies the pointer to the filter struct into the Z-register, since this can be used for indirect addressing of data, i.e., for pointer operations. Then the core of the algorithm is ready to be run. The samples (data) are loaded and multiplied with the matching coefficients. The products are added in the 24-bit wide accumulator.
When all data and coefficients are Multiplied-and-Accumulated (MAC) and the filter node FIFO buffers are updated, the result is finally scaled down and returned. Note that the result in the accumulator is downscaled before it is stored in the $y[n-1]$ FIFO buffer element. A data flow chart is shown in Figure 4-4.

**Figure 4-4:** Generic IIR Filter Algorithm.
The flow chart in Figure 4-4 shows the algorithm as if it was implemented using loops, this is only to increase the readability of the flow chart. The algorithm is implemented using straight-line code.

4.2.1 Filter Algorithm Performance

Table 4-4 shows the performance of the second order IIR filter. The count for filtering is for the core of the filtering algorithm (MUL and MAC operations, updating nodes), and the count for overhead is for the rest of the filtering function (including downscaling). Function call and corresponding return are not included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructions (filtering + overhead)</th>
<th>Execution Cycles (filtering + overhead)</th>
<th>Filter Efficiency (filtering cycles per order)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>86 + 8</td>
<td>132 + 8</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that a change in filter order may necessitate a change in accumulator size, which in turn will change the number of filtering instructions/cycles per order.

5 Optimization of Filter Implementations

The filters implemented in this application note were made efficient, but still easy to adapt to different filters. Because of this, they are sub-optimal. Below are suggested ways to optimize filters with regards to code size and/or throughput.

5.1 Improving Both Code Size and Throughput

One way to improve both code size and throughput is to ensure that only meaningful calculations are performed. The assembly code for the filters implemented in this application note is made so that it will work with any set of filter coefficients. However, the second order IIR example filter has two zero-coefficients. Multiplication and subsequent accumulation with zero-coefficients may, of course, be omitted as it will not contribute to the output. This way, the code size is reduced and throughput increased.

5.2 Reducing Code Size

A reduction of code size may be achieved by implementing the MAC operation as a function call, instead of as a macro. However, this will impact the throughput since each function call and corresponding return will consume additional cycles.

Another way to reduce the code size is to implement high-order filters as cascades of lower order filters, as demonstrated in the fourth order FIR example filter. Though, as mentioned earlier, this will reduce the precision of the filter due to the downscaling in between each filter in the cascade. Also, optimizing by omitting MAC operations with zero-coefficients may not be possible in such implementations.

5.3 Reducing Memory Usage

The filter coefficients have in both example implementations simply been put in SRAM. A simple way to reduce memory usage is to put the coefficients in FLASH and fetch when needed. This will potentially almost halve the memory usage for the filter parameters, since there are almost as many coefficients as there are filter nodes (previous input/output samples).
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